



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,035	12/28/2001	Young Ho Bae	3449-0921PUS1	3483
2292 7590 06/07/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
EXAMINER				
KACKAR, RAM N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1716				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/07/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/029,035	Applicant(s) BAE, YOUNG HO
	Examiner Ram N. Kackar	Art Unit 1716

All Participants:

(1) Ram N. Kackar

(2) Parviz Hassanzadeh SPE

Date of Interview: 1 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert J. Webster

(4) _____

Time: 02:00 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
New matter rejection.

Claims discussed:
No

Prior art documents discussed:
No.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The applicant communicated that the specification including drawings were returned to original status. The specification was corrected to remove obvious errors. Since, there appears to be no new matter at this time it was agreed to drop Sec 112 rejection. The interview was conducted at the request of Mr. Webster earlier.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ram N Kackar/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1716

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)